

OD RFP Proposal Scoring Form

* Indicates required question

1. Email *

2. Name of the evaluator (you) *

3. Name of the organization you are currently evaluating *

Note:

All threshold criteria has been reviewed by Opening Doors NWFL staff prior to application review and scoring.

History and Experience (1)

4. Did the proposal demonstrate that the organization has adequate history in serving * those nearing or experiencing homelessness?

Mark only one oval.

No, the organization has never served those nearing or experiencing homelessness.

Yes, the organization has served those nearing or experiencing homelessness successfully but has never administered a project dedicated to them.

Yes, the organization has administered at least one project dedicated to those nearing or experiencing homelessness.

5. **Notes** (*History and Experience 1*)

History and Experience (2)

6. Did the proposal demonstrate the organization has previously and successfully administered the same or similar services in the past? *

Mark only one oval.

No, the organization has never successfully administered an applicable service.

Yes, the organization has successfully administered one type of applicable service.

Yes, the organization has successfully administered more than one type of applicable services.

7. **Notes** (*History and Experience 2*)

History and Experience (3)

8. In reviewing the project proposal and the agency's video, has the organization previously administered similar federal/state/local government grants? *

Mark only one oval.

No, the organization has no prior experience.

Yes, local government or privately funded grants.

Yes, federal and state grants.

9. **Notes** (*History and Experience 3*)

Financial Capacity (1)

10. Did the organization demonstrate having the fiscal capacity to successfully and accurately manage a project of this size, including the ability to manage multiple contracts, allocate funds and track expenses by fund as demonstrated in their application, financial and 990 attachments? *

Mark only one oval.

No, the organization has NOT demonstrated the required fiscal capacity.

Yes, the organization has demonstrated SOME fiscal capacity but needs administrative improvements, such as in tracking expenses or on-time reporting.

Yes, the organization has FULLY demonstrated the required fiscal capacity.

11. Notes (Financial Capacity 1)**Financial Capacity (2)**

12. Did the organization demonstrate sufficient cash flow to support the project, given ^{*} this is a reimbursement base grant (Financials and 990 Attachments)?

Mark only one oval.

No, the organization has NOT demonstrated sufficient cash flow to support the required services and staffing capacity.

Yes, the organization has demonstrated SOME cash flow to support the required services and staffing capacity.

Yes, the organization has demonstrated FULLY sufficient cash flow to support the required services and staffing capacity.

13. Notes (Financial Capacity 2)**Community and Diversity (1)**

14. Did the organization demonstrate commitment to racial equity specifically among agency leadership? *

Mark only one oval.

No, the organization did NOT demonstrate a commitment to racial equity.

Yes, the organization did demonstrate SOME commitment to racial equity, but not among agency leadership.

Yes, the organization did demonstrate FULL commitment to racial equity, especially among agency leadership.

15. **Notes** (Community and Diversity 1)

Community and Diversity (2)

16. Did the organization demonstrate commitment to ensuring all staff has the attitude, knowledge, and skills to deliver culturally competent services? *

Mark only one oval.

No, the organization did NOT demonstrate a commitment to culturally competent service delivery.

Yes, the organization did demonstrate SOME commitment to culturally competent service delivery.

Yes, the organization did demonstrate FULL commitment to culturally competent service delivery.

17. Notes (Community and Diversity 2)**Community and Diversity (3)**

18. Did the organization demonstrate a commitment to collaboration with Opening Doors and other community partners? *

Mark only one oval.

No, the organization did NOT demonstrate a commitment to collaboration with Opening Doors OR other community partners.

Yes, the organization did demonstrate SOME commitment to collaboration with Opening Doors OR other community partners.

Yes, the organization did demonstrate FULL commitment to collaboration with Opening Doors AND other community partners.

19. Notes (Community and Diversity 3)**Service Provision Approach (1)**

20. Did the organization commit to participating in Coordinated Entry? *

Mark only one oval.

No

Yes

21. **Notes** (*Service Provision Approach 1*)

Service Provision Approach (2)

22. Did the organization commit to participating in HMIS, or for victim service providers, an HMIS comparable database? *

Mark only one oval.

No

Yes

23. **Notes** (*Service Provision Approach 2*)

Service Provision Approach (3)

24. Did the organization demonstrate understanding and commitment to Trauma Informed Care and service delivery? *

Mark only one oval.

No, the organization did NOT demonstrate understanding or commitment to Trauma Informed Care and service delivery.

Yes, the organization did demonstrate SOME understanding and commitment to Trauma Informed Care and service delivery.

Yes, the organization did demonstrate FULL understanding and commitment to Trauma Informed Care and service delivery.

25. **Notes** (*Service Provision Approach 3*)

Service Provision Approach (4)

26. Did the proposal demonstrate commitment to a Low Barrier, Housing First approach? *

Mark only one oval.

No, the organization did NOT demonstrate understanding or commitment to a Low Barrier, Housing First approach.

Yes, the organization did demonstrate SOME understanding and commitment a Low Barrier, Housing First approach.

Yes, the organization did demonstrate FULL understanding and commitment to a Low Barrier, Housing First approach.

27. **Notes** (Service Provision Approach 4)

Service Provision Approach (5)

28. Did the proposal demonstrate an understanding and commitment to Fair Housing and Equal Access practices? *

Mark only one oval.

No, the organization did NOT demonstrate understanding or commitment to Fair Housing and Equal Access practices.

Yes, the organization did demonstrate SOME understanding and commitment Fair Housing and Equal Access practices.

Yes, the organization did demonstrate FULL understanding and commitment to Fair Housing and Equal Access practices.

29. **Notes** (Service Provision Approach 5)

Interview (1)

30. Did the video provide details about the logistics and vision of the proposal? *

Mark only one oval.

No, the video provided NO details about the logistics and vision of the proposal.

Yes, the video provided SOME details about the logistics and vision of the proposal.

Yes, the video provided FULL details about the logistics and vision of the proposal.

31. **Notes** (Interview 1)

Interview (2)

32. Did the video demonstrate the organization's understanding of overall CoC wide goals and strategies for funding? *

Mark only one oval.

No, the video demonstrated no understanding of overall CoC wide goals and strategies for funding.

Yes, the video demonstrated SOME understanding of overall CoC wide goals and strategies for funding.

Yes, the video demonstrated FULL understanding of overall CoC wide goals and strategies for funding.

33. **Notes** (Interview 2)**Interview (3)**

34. Did the video answer everything required of it in the RFP, as listed here? *

Describe how your program will:

- prevent and respond to homelessness and homelessness risk;
- address marginalized populations and social disparities; and
- connect clients to mainstream services (i.e., health care and employment, public assistance).

Mark only one oval.

No, the video did NOT answer these questions.

Yes, the video answered SOME of these questions.

Yes, the video answered ALL of these questions.

35. **Notes** (Interview 3)**ESG**

36. Is this organization applying for ESG funding? *

Mark only one oval.

Yes

No *Skip to question 61*

ESG - Budget (1)

37. ESG: How much, in dollars, is requested for **Street Outreach**? *(If Street Outreach * is not requested, put zero.)*

38. ESG: How much, in dollars, is requested for **Emergency Shelter**? *(If Emergency * Shelter is not requested, put zero.)*

39. ESG: How much, in dollars, is requested for **Rapid Rehousing**? *(If Rapid * Rehousing is not requested, put zero.)*

40. ESG: How much, in dollars, is requested for **Homeless Prevention**? *(If Homeless * Prevention is not requested, put zero.)*

ESG - Budget (2a)

41. ESG: Are the requested budget amounts within the range of available dollars and requirements of the ESG grant? For example, Street Outreach and Emergency Shelter combined are limited to 60% of total funding, which is \$154,200. *

II. Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG)	
Eligible Activities for the Emergency Solutions Grant program, as described in 24 CFR Part 576 and HUD CPD Notice 20-08, are allowable under this RFP.	Allocated Funds: \$257,000.00
Allowable components include Street Outreach, Emergency Shelter, Homeless Prevention, Rapid Rehousing, and HMIS*.	Opening Doors 3.98% Admin: \$10,230.00
The purpose of the ESG program is to provide funding to: (1) engage homeless individuals and families living on the streets through outreach contacts; (2) improve the number and quality of emergency shelters for homeless individuals and families and help operate these shelters; (3) provide essential services to shelter residents, (4) prevent individuals and families from becoming homeless, and (5) rapidly re-house literally homeless individuals and families.	Subrecipient 1% Admin: \$2,570.00
* Subrecipients applying for HMIS funds must apply for one additional component under the ESG program.	Subrecipient 95% Services: \$244,150.00**
** ESG funding for shelter and outreach combined is limited to 60% of the total ESG allocation.	Award Amount Available: \$246,720.00
There will be deliverable requirements based on the amount of the award.	

Mark only one oval.

No, the budget is not within the capabilities of this ESG grant.

Yes, MOSTLY, with some need for adjustment.

Yes, FULLY within the capabilities of this ESG grant. *Skip to question 43*

ESG - Budget (2b)

42. ESG: Based on your previous answer, please note any discrepancies in the budget provided by the agency that cause it to **NOT** be within the range of available dollars and requirements of the ESG grant. *

II. Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG)	
<p>Eligible Activities for the Emergency Solutions Grant program, as described in 24 CFR Part 576 and HUD CPD Notice 20-08, are allowable under this RFP.</p> <p>Allowable components include Street Outreach, Emergency Shelter, Homeless Prevention, Rapid Rehousing, and HMIS*.</p> <p>The purpose of the ESG program is to provide funding to: (1) engage homeless individuals and families living on the streets through outreach contacts; (2) improve the number and quality of emergency shelters for homeless individuals and families and help operate these shelters; (3) provide essential services to shelter residents, (4) prevent individuals and families from becoming homeless, and (5) rapidly re-house literally homeless individuals and families.</p> <p>* Subrecipients applying for HMIS funds must apply for one additional component under the ESG program.</p> <p>** ESG funding for shelter and outreach combined is limited to 60% of the total ESG allocation.</p> <p>There will be deliverable requirements based on the amount of the award.</p>	<p>Allocated Funds: \$257,000.00</p> <p>Opening Doors 3.98% Admin: \$10,230.00</p> <p>Subrecipient 1% Admin: \$2,570.00</p> <p>Subrecipient 95% Services: \$244,150.00**</p> <p>Award Amount Available: \$246,720.00</p>

ESG - Budget (3a)

43. ESG: Does the budget provided by the agency adequately support the activities and goals proposed by the applicant? *

Mark only one oval.

No, the budgeted funding is insufficient.

Yes, MOSTLY, with some need for adjustment.

Yes, the budgeted funding is FULLY sufficient. *Skip to question 45*

ESG - Budget (3b)

44. ESG: Based on your previous answer, please note any discrepancies in the budget provided by the agency that cause it to **NOT** adequately support the activities and goals proposed by the applicant. *

ESG - Budget (4a)

45. ESG: Does the budget and line items, provided by the agency, demonstrate an understanding of the eligible activities described in this RFP? Eligible activities are described here: <https://openingdoorsnwfl.org/web/assets/download/ESG-Program-Components-Quick-Reference.pdf> *

Mark only one oval.

No, the budget and line items DO NOT demonstrate understanding.

Yes, MOSTLY, with some need for adjustment.

Yes, the budget and line items FULLY demonstrate understanding.

Skip to question 47

ESG - Budget (4b)

46. ESG: Based on your previous answer, please note any discrepancies in the budget provided by the agency that cause it to **NOT** demonstrate an understanding of the eligible activities described in this RFP. *

ESG - Alignment with Local Priorities (1)

47. ESG: Did the proposal describe how it will decrease chronic homelessness? *

Mark only one oval.

No, not at all.

Yes, BUT it wasn't described well or has feasibility issues.

Yes, FULLY described and the plan is feasible.

48. **Notes** (*ESG - Alignment with Local Priorities 1*)

ESG - Alignment with Local Priorities (2)

49. ESG: Did the proposal describe how it will decrease the length of time someone experiences homelessness? *

Mark only one oval.

No, not at all.

Yes, BUT it wasn't described well or has feasibility issues.

Yes, FULLY described and the plan is feasible.

50. **Notes** (*ESG - Alignment with Local Priorities 2*)

ESG - Alignment with Local Priorities (3)

51. ESG: Did the proposal describe how it will decrease returns to homelessness and ensure clients that were sheltered to not return to unsheltered settings? *

Mark only one oval.

No, not at all.

Yes, BUT it wasn't described well or has feasibility issues.

Yes, FULLY described and the plan is feasible.

52. **Notes** (*ESG - Alignment with Local Priorities 3*)

ESG - Alignment with Local Priorities (4)

53. ESG: Which of the following special populations does the proposal demonstrate dedication and history in serving? *

Check all that apply.

- Youth to age 24 / Pregnant Youth / Parenting Youth / Unaccompanied Youth
- Families with Children
- Single Adults / Adult Couples
- Chronically Homeless
- Veterans
- Unsheltered
- Other: _____

54. ESG: Does the proposal demonstrate dedication and history in serving at least 2 of these special populations? *

Mark only one oval.

No, they have no history or dedication in serving any of these special populations.

No, they demonstrate dedication but no history.

Yes, they demonstrate both dedication and history in serving at least 2 of these special populations.

ESG - Measurable Goals and Objectives (1)

55. ESG: Are the proposed goals and objectives specific and in alignment with the intent of this RFP? *

Mark only one oval.

No, not at all.

Yes, BUT it wasn't described well or has feasibility issues.

Yes, FULLY described and the plan is feasible.

56. **Notes** (*ESG - Measurable Goals and Objectives 1*)

ESG - Measurable Goals and Objectives (2)

57. ESG: Does the applicant demonstrate the capacity to measure progress towards goals? *

Mark only one oval.

No, not at all.

Yes, BUT it wasn't described well or has feasibility issues.

Yes, FULLY described and the plan is feasible.

58. **Notes** (*ESG - Measurable Goals and Objectives 2*)

ESG - Viewpoint

59. ESG: After carefully reviewing this organization's grant proposal, how confident are you that they will successfully administer this grant in service to our community? *

Mark only one oval.

No points = Not at all confident

1 point = Slightly confident

2 points = Somewhat confident

3 points = Quite confident

5 points = Extremely confident

60. **Notes** (ESG - Viewpoint)

Challenge

61. Is this organization applying for Challenge funding? *

Mark only one oval.

Yes

No *Skip to question 85*

Challenge - Budget (1)

62. Challenge: How much, in dollars, is requested for **Housing Projects**? (If Housing Projects are not requested, put zero.) *

63. Challenge: How much, in dollars, is requested for **Program Projects**? *(If Program Projects are not requested, put zero.)*

64. Challenge: How much, in dollars, is requested for **Service Projects**? *(If Service Projects are not requested, put zero.)*

Challenge - Budget (2a)

65. Challenge: Are the requested budget amounts within the range of available dollars and requirements of the Challenge grant? *

I. Challenge Grant	
<p>Challenge Grant funding shall be used locally to assist those individuals or households who are homeless, or those at risk of becoming homeless. The Challenge Grant eligible activities promote the development of housing, program, and service projects. The funds must be used to assist those clients defined as homeless in section 420.621(5), Florida Statutes. The intent of the grant is to help implement the goals and action steps outlined in the annual CoC Consolidated Plan – which is separate from the HUD CoC and ESG Written Standards.</p> <p>Housing: Example – Homeless Prevention, Rapid Rehousing, Transitional Housing, and Permanent Housing</p> <p>Program: Example – Case Management, Emergency Shelter, and Hotel Vouchers (where no available shelter occupancy exists)</p> <p>Service: Example – Transportation, Life Skills, Employment, State ID, Birth Certificate</p> <p>There will be deliverable requirements based on the amount of the award.</p>	<p>Allocated Funds: \$119,000.00 (Tentative)</p> <p>Opening Doors 6% Admin: \$7,140.00</p> <p>Subrecipient 2% Admin: \$2,380.00</p> <p>Subrecipient 92% Services: \$109,480.00</p> <p>Award Amount Available: \$111,860.00</p>

Mark only one oval.

No, the budget is not within the capabilities of this Challenge grant.

Yes, MOSTLY, with some need for adjustment.

Yes, FULLY within the capabilities of this Challenge grant. *Skip to question 67*

Challenge - Budget (2b)

66. Challenge: Based on your previous answer, please note any discrepancies in the budget provided by the agency that cause it to **NOT** be within the range of available dollars and requirements of the Challenge grant. *

I. Challenge Grant	
<p>Challenge Grant funding shall be used locally to assist those individuals or households who are homeless, or those at risk of becoming homeless. The Challenge Grant eligible activities promote the development of housing, program, and service projects. The funds must be used to assist those clients defined as homeless in section 420.621(5), Florida Statutes. The intent of the grant is to help implement the goals and action steps outlined in the annual CoC Consolidated Plan – which is separate from the HUD CoC and ESG Written Standards.</p> <p>Housing: Example – Homeless Prevention, Rapid Rehousing, Transitional Housing, and Permanent Housing</p> <p>Program: Example – Case Management, Emergency Shelter, and Hotel Vouchers (where no available shelter occupancy exists)</p> <p>Service: Example – Transportation, Life Skills, Employment, State ID, Birth Certificate</p> <p>There will be deliverable requirements based on the amount of the award.</p>	<p>Allocated Funds: \$119,000.00 (Tentative)</p> <p>Opening Doors 6% Admin: \$7,140.00</p> <p>Subrecipient 2% Admin: \$2,380.00</p> <p>Subrecipient 92% Services: \$109,480.00</p> <p>Award Amount Available: \$111,860.00</p>

Challenge - Budget (3a)

67. Challenge: Does the budget provided by the agency adequately support the activities and goals proposed by the applicant? *

Mark only one oval.

No, the budgeted funding is insufficient.

Yes, MOSTLY, with some need for adjustment.

Yes, the budgeted funding is FULLY sufficient. *Skip to question 69*

Challenge - Budget (3b)

68. Challenge: Based on your previous answer, please note any discrepancies in the budget provided by the agency that cause it to **NOT** adequately support the activities and goals proposed by the applicant. *

Challenge - Budget (4a)

69. Challenge: Does the budget and line items, provided by the agency, demonstrate an understanding of the eligible activities described in this RFP? *

Mark only one oval.

No, the budget and line items DO NOT demonstrate understanding.

Yes, MOSTLY, with some need for adjustment.

Yes, the budget and line items FULLY demonstrate understanding.

Skip to question 71

Challenge - Budget (4b)

70. Challenge: Based on your previous answer, please note any discrepancies in the budget provided by the agency that cause it to **NOT** demonstrate an understanding of the eligible activities described in this RFP. *

Challenge - Alignment with Local Priorities (1)

71. Challenge: Did the proposal describe how it will decrease chronic homelessness? *

Mark only one oval.

No, not at all.

Yes, BUT it wasn't described well or has feasibility issues.

Yes, FULLY described and the plan is feasible.

72. **Notes** (Challenge - Alignment with Local Priorities 1)

Challenge - Alignment with Local Priorities (2)

73. Challenge: Did the proposal describe how it will decrease the length of time someone experiences homelessness? *

Mark only one oval.

No, not at all.

Yes, BUT it wasn't described well or has feasibility issues.

Yes, FULLY described and the plan is feasible.

74. **Notes** (Challenge - *Alignment with Local Priorities 2*)

Challenge - Alignment with Local Priorities (3)

75. Challenge: Did the proposal describe how it will decrease returns to homelessness and ensure clients that were sheltered to not return to unsheltered settings? *

Mark only one oval.

No, not at all.

Yes, BUT it wasn't described well or has feasibility issues.

Yes, FULLY described and the plan is feasible.

76. **Notes** (Challenge - *Alignment with Local Priorities 3*)**Challenge - Alignment with Local Priorities (4)**

77. Challenge: Which of the following special populations does the proposal demonstrate dedication and history in serving? *

Check all that apply.

- Youth to age 24 / Pregnant Youth / Parenting Youth / Unaccompanied Youth
- Families with Children
- Single Adults / Adult Couples
- Chronically Homeless
- Veterans
- Unsheltered
- Other: _____

78. Challenge: Does the proposal demonstrate dedication and history in serving at least 2 of these special populations? *

Mark only one oval.

No, they have no history or dedication in serving any of these special populations.

No, they demonstrate dedication but no history.

Yes, they demonstrate both dedication and history in serving at least 2 of these special populations.

Challenge - Measurable Goals and Objectives (1)

79. Challenge: Are the proposed goals and objectives specific and in alignment with the intent of this RFP? *

Mark only one oval.

No, not at all.

Yes, BUT it wasn't described well or has feasibility issues.

Yes, FULLY described and the plan is feasible.

80. **Notes** (Challenge - *Measurable Goals and Objectives 1*)

Challenge - Measurable Goals and Objectives (2)

81. Challenge: Does the applicant demonstrate the capacity to measure progress towards goals? *

Mark only one oval.

No, not at all.

Yes, BUT it wasn't described well or has feasibility issues.

Yes, FULLY described and the plan is feasible.

82. **Notes** (Challenge - *Measurable Goals and Objectives 2*)

Challenge - Viewpoint

83. Challenge: After carefully reviewing this organization's grant proposal, how confident are you that they will successfully administer this grant in service to our community? *

Mark only one oval.

No points = Not at all confident

1 point = Slightly confident

2 points = Somewhat confident

3 points = Quite confident

5 points = Extremely confident

84. **Notes (Challenge - Viewpoint) ***

TANF

85. Is this organization applying for TANF funding? *

Mark only one oval.

Yes

No

TANF - Budget (1)

86. TANF: How much, in dollars, is requested for **Homeless Prevention?** *

TANF - Budget (2a)

87. TANF: Are the requested budget amounts within the range of available dollars and requirements of the TANF grant? *

III. Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Prevention Grant	
<p>The purpose of the TANF Homelessness Prevention Grant Program is to assist eligible families to prevent the family from becoming homeless and to maintain stable housing following the assistance from the grant. The Homelessness Prevention Grant may be used to pay the following costs to assist eligible families avoid homelessness:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Past due rent or mortgage payments, not to exceed two (2) months of rent or mortgage payment. • Past due utility bills, not to exceed two (2) months in arrears for electric, gas, water, and sewer only. <p>Staff and operating costs for the provision of the required case management services to be provided to eligible families assisted.</p> <p>Households served must be under 200% of the federal poverty guideline as identified by HHS.</p> <p>Deliverable Requirement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Homelessness Prevention: Must serve 2 individuals/1 household per month. • Case Management: Must serve 2 individuals/1 household per month. <p>** TANF has a 18.7% cap for Case Management activity</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Only one organization will be awarded the TANF Grant.</p>	<p>Allocated Funds: \$38,000.00 (Tentative)</p> <p>Opening Doors Admin: \$1140.00</p> <p>Award Amount Available: \$36,860.00**</p>

Mark only one oval.

No, the budget is not within the capabilities of this TANF grant.

Yes, MOSTLY, with some need for adjustment.

Yes, FULLY within the capabilities of this TANF grant. *Skip to question 89*

TANF - Budget (2b)

88. TANF: Based on your previous answer, please note any discrepancies in the budget * provided by the agency that cause it to **NOT** be within the range of available dollars and requirements of the TANF grant.

III. Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Prevention Grant	
<p>The purpose of the TANF Homelessness Prevention Grant Program is to assist eligible families to prevent the family from becoming homeless and to maintain stable housing following the assistance from the grant. The Homelessness Prevention Grant may be used to pay the following costs to assist eligible families avoid homelessness:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Past due rent or mortgage payments, not to exceed two (2) months of rent or mortgage payment. • Past due utility bills, not to exceed two (2) months in arrears for electric, gas, water, and sewer only. <p>Staff and operating costs for the provision of the required case management services to be provided to eligible families assisted.</p> <p>Households served must be under 200% of the federal poverty guideline as identified by HHS.</p> <p>Deliverable Requirement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Homelessness Prevention: Must serve 2 individuals/1 household per month. • Case Management: Must serve 2 individuals/1 household per month. <p>** TANF has a 18.7% cap for Case Management activity</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Only one organization will be awarded the TANF Grant.</p>	<p>Allocated Funds: \$38,000.00 (Tentative)</p> <p>Opening Doors Admin: \$1140.00</p> <p>Award Amount Available: \$36,860.00**</p>

TANF - Budget (3a)

89. TANF: Does the budget provided by the agency adequately support the activities and goals proposed by the applicant? *

Mark only one oval.

No, the budgeted funding is insufficient.

Yes, MOSTLY, with some need for adjustment.

Yes, the budgeted funding is FULLY sufficient. *Skip to question 91*

TANF - Budget (3b)

90. TANF: Based on your previous answer, please note any discrepancies in the budget provided by the agency that cause it to **NOT** adequately support the activities and goals proposed by the applicant. *

TANF - Budget (4a)

91. TANF: Does the budget and line items, provided by the agency, demonstrate an understanding of the eligible activities described in this RFP? *

Mark only one oval.

No, the budget and line items DO NOT demonstrate understanding.

Yes, MOSTLY, with some need for adjustment.

Yes, the budget and line items FULLY demonstrate understanding.

Skip to question 93

TANF - Budget (4b)

92. TANF: Based on your previous answer, please note any discrepancies in the budget provided by the agency that cause it to **NOT** demonstrate an understanding of the eligible activities described in this RFP. *

TANF - Alignment with Local Priorities (1)

93. TANF: Did the proposal describe how it will decrease recidivism (returns to homelessness or need for homeless prevention)? *

Mark only one oval.

No, not at all.

Yes, BUT it wasn't described well or has feasibility issues.

Yes, FULLY described and the plan is feasible.

94. **Notes** (*TANF - Alignment with Local Priorities 1*)

TANF - Alignment with Local Priorities (2)

95. TANF: Which of the following special populations does the proposal demonstrate dedication and history in serving? *

Check all that apply.

- Parenting youth to age 24
- Families with Children
- Other: _____

96. TANF: Does the proposal demonstrate dedication and history in serving at least 2 of these special populations? *

Mark only one oval.

No, they have no history or dedication in serving any of these special populations.

No, they demonstrate dedication but no history.

Yes, they demonstrate both dedication and history in serving at least 2 of these special populations.

97. **Notes** (*TANF - Alignment with Local Priorities 2*)

TANF - Measurable Goals and Objectives (1)

98. TANF: Are the proposed goals and objectives specific and in alignment with the intent of this RFP? *

Mark only one oval.

No, not at all.

Yes, BUT it wasn't described well or has feasibility issues.

Yes, FULLY described and the plan is feasible.

99. **Notes** (*TANF - Measurable Goals and Objectives 1*)

TANF - Measurable Goals and Objectives (2)

100. TANF: Does the applicant demonstrate the capacity to measure progress towards goals? *

Mark only one oval.

No, not at all.

Yes, BUT it wasn't described well or has feasibility issues.

Yes, FULLY described and the plan is feasible.

101. **Notes** (*TANF - Measurable Goals and Objectives 2*)

TANF - Viewpoint

102. TANF: After carefully reviewing this organization's grant proposal, how confident ^{*} are you that they will successfully administer this grant in service to our community?

Mark only one oval.

No points = Not at all confident

1 point = Slightly confident

2 points = Somewhat confident

3 points = Quite confident

5 points = Extremely confident

103. **Notes** (*TANF - Viewpoint*)