## Opening Doors Northwest Florida 2022 Project Review & Ranking Rubric

## **Score Key**

I. Target Population (5 Points Maximum) (3.8% of grade)						
Housing First Project	Yes (5 points)	No (0 points)				
PH-RRH (Rapid Rehousing) Project	Yes (3 points)	No (0 points)				
Project is 100% Dedicated to DV	Yes (5 points)	No (0 points)				

II. Des	scription on Propo Homeles (16 points max (12.4% of gra	ss kimum)	nefits to		
Employment/Education Opportunities	Yes No (6 points) (0 points)				
Description on Services Offered	New Services (2 points)				
Applicant Experience	10+ years (3 point)		years oint)	1-5 years <b>(1 point)</b>	0 years ( <b>0 point)</b>
Service area	2 of 2 Counties (5 points)		1		

III. Budget Detail (19 points maximum) (14.6% of grade)							
Is the budget appropriate for services	Appropriate Inapprop (3 points) (0 points)						
% of Budget for Direct Services	100% (8 points)		99% pints)	65 to 74% (3 points)	64% or Less (0 points)		
Cash Match	Greater than 4 (4 points)	4%		30 to 44% (1 point)	Under 30% (0 points)		
Leverage	Greater than 4 (4 points)	4%		Under 30% (0 points)			

	(16 poi	rticipat CoC nts max 4% of gra	kim	um)				
Membership Length	5+ years 4 years 3 years 2 years 1 year (5 points) (4 points) (3 points) (2 points) (1 point)						Under 1 year (0 points)	
CoC meeting attendance (July 2021-June 2022)	Above 50% Attends 27%-50% (3 points) (2 points)			)%	1 <b>(1</b>	0% (0 points)		
Participation in Coordinated Entry	Yes (5 points)						No (0 points)	
Participant in Point in Time Survey		Yes (3 points)						No ( <b>0 points)</b>

V. Participation in HMIS (13 points maximum) (10% of grade)					
Enters data into HMIS system	Yes (8 points)	No ( <b>0 points)</b>			
The project is reported in HIC	Yes (5 points)	No (0 points)			

VI. Emphasis on Targeted Population (6 points maximum) (4.6% of grade)						
LGBT	Yes (3 points)	No ( <b>0 points)</b>				
Domestic Violence	Yes (3 points)	No ( <b>0 points</b> )				

VII. CoC Priorities (10 points maximum) (7.7% of grade)						
Prioritized, Underserved, or Marginalized	Yes (5 points)	No (0 points)				
Project Focus Chronic Homelessness	Yes (5 points)	No (0 points)				

## VIII. Performance - Renewal Projects Only (30 points maximum)

(23% of grade)

Percent remained in or moving to permanent housing (PH)	Above 90% <b>(7.5 points)</b>	72 to 90% (6 points)		o 71% points)	36 to 53% (3 points)	18 to 35% (1.5 points)	Under 18% (0 points)
Clients returned to Homelessness (12 Months after exit)	_	nder 15% I. <b>5 points)</b>	15% to 25% (3 points)			Above 25% (0 points)	
Clients with new or increased income (stayers with earned income)	Above <b>(4.5 poi</b>	-	6 to 7% (3 points)		3 to 5% (1.5 points)		Under 3% ( <b>0 points)</b>
Clients with new or increased income (stayers with non-employment)	Above (4.5 poi		6 to 9% (3 points)				Under 3% (0 points)
Clients with new or increased income (leavers)	Above (4.5 poi	-	6 to 7% (3 points)			to 5% 5 points)	Under 3% (0 points)
Clients with new or increased income (non employment leavers)	Above <b>(4.5 poi</b>		6 to 9% (3 points)				Under 3% (0 points)

## IX. CoC Compliance - Renewal Projects Only (15 points maximum)

	•	5% of grade)	•				
Bed Utilization Rate	Above 89% 80 to 89% (4 points)				Under 80% (0 points)		
HMIS Data Quality	Above 98% (5 points)				90 to 98 <b>(4 point</b> :	Under 90% (0 points)	
Coordinated Entry Compliance	Above 94% (5 points)	85 to 94% (4 points)		84% oints)	65 to 74% (2 points)	50 to 64% (1 point)	Under 50% (0 points)